




Virginia Coastal Zone
MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

Semiannual Progress Report

Progress reports are due April 15th and October 15th. These reports, submitted to NOAA, summarize the progress of your project during either the October 1 – March 31 or April 1 – September 30 time period.

Please submit this form electronically to:

april.bahen@deq.virginia.gov

YOU DO NOT NEED TO SUBMIT A HARD COPY

NOAA Grant #:	NA08NOS4190466	Grant Year:	2008	Task #:	Task 2.03
Progress for the period:	January 15,2010 – July 15, 2010 ____		X April 1, 2010 – September 30, 2010 __		
Agency/Locality:	Virginia Institute of Marine Science				
Project Title:	A Critique of Existing Methodologies for Developing Shoreline Management Plans for Local Governments				

NARRATIVE: Please confine your summary to the space provide below. Do not write in the first person and clearly define the “staff” who worked on the project (i.e.: “HRPDC negotiated a meeting with...”) Simply saying “staff” indicates VA CZM staff. Include references to progress made on each deliverable. Please include the **Web address** to any related information available on-line.

This project critiques two different techniques for mapping and delivering management recommendations to local governments. Three pilot areas have been selected where baseline data necessary to support both methods have been collected. Stage one of the project was to complete the mapping and analysis for Method One – an automated Geospatial Shoreline Management Model -for two areas. This will bring both methods to completion for the three pilot areas. Stage two of the project is a comparative analysis of the two methods. Here a review of data extent, accuracy, time and efficiency, end products, etc will be prepared. Stage three presents this review to a group of stakeholders from the three pilot regions. Their comments and suggestions will be incorporated into a final review document.

During this reporting period the focus has been to analyze the geospatial similarities and differences between the two models for each pilot area. A synthesis of the classifications was performed to “bucket” classes into “like” terms. Lack of metadata completeness in Method two generated some time delays. Also, variations in the classification used in Method 2 for each of the three pilot areas created problems. Ultimately this analysis compared miles of shoreline delineated, where recommendations coincided and where they differed.

Progress was also made to generate a potential stakeholder participants list for the workshop. A workshop planning meeting has been held to coordinate final project needs for the workshop and deliverables. Upcoming events and commitments in the region will force the workshop date to be held in early November. Since this is beyond the project period, an extension has been request for this project.

Final Products	% Completion	Anticipated Completion Date
Geospatial Shoreline Management Model - complete 2 pilot areas	100	
Document comparisons between two methods	90%	October 15, 2010*
Conduct Stakeholder assessment of two methods	10%	November 15, 2010

